

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	
DEDICATION	
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	I
LIST OF TABLES.....	V
LIST OF FIGURES.....	XI

1 Introduction and Objectives 1

1.1. Context	1
1.2. Motivations.....	2
1.3. Research questions	3
1.4. Goals and Objectives	6
1.5. Outline.....	7

2 State of the Art..... 9

2.1. <i>Eucalyptus globulus</i> background.....	9
2.1.1. Botanic characterization.....	9
2.1.2. Native distribution.....	10
2.1.3. Plantation area	10
2.1.4. Stocks and wood production.....	12
2.1.5. Socioeconomic importance in Galicia	13
2.1.6. Wood use.....	13
2.1.7. Silviculture.....	14
2.1.7.1. General for <i>Eucalyptus globulus</i>	14
2.1.7.2. In Galicia.....	14
2.1.8. <i>Eucalyptus globulus</i> growth models	15
2.1.8.1. Empirical growth and yield models.....	16
2.1.8.2. Process-based models	17
2.1.8.3. Hybrid models	21
2.1.9. Pathology	21
2.1.9.1. Pathology in Galicia	23
2.2. Defoliation in <i>Eucalyptus globulus</i> stands in Galicia	25
2.2.1. The defoliator: <i>Gonipterus scutellatus</i>	25
2.2.1.1. <i>Gonipterus scutellatus</i> spread	25
2.2.1.2. Life cycle.....	26
2.2.1.3. Symptoms and effects on eucalypts in Galicia	27
2.2.1.4. Control measures	28
2.2.1.5. Control programs.....	30
2.3. Geospatial Information Technologies background	31
2.3.1. Geographic information systems.....	31
2.3.1.1. GIS and forest management	31
2.3.1.2. GIS and forest health protection	32
2.3.2. Remote sensing	36
2.3.2.1. Remote sensing in forest environments.....	36
2.3.2.2. Remote sensing of forest structure and forest growth....	37
2.3.2.3. Remote sensing in forest health protection	38
2.3.2.4. Remote sensing of Eucalyptus stands	60
2.3.3. Remote sensing and GIS.....	64
2.3.3.1. Remote sensing as data source for GIS in forest defoliation	65
2.3.3.2. GIS as an aid to remote sensing in forest defoliation.....	66

3 Study area	69
3.1. Study area selection.....	69
3.2. Study area description	71
4 Eucalyptus Health Monitoring System	75
4.1. Introduction.....	75
4.2. Background	76
4.2.1. Forest health.....	76
4.2.2. Forest health assessment.....	78
4.2.2.1. Overview	78
4.2.2.2. Forest health indicators	78
4.2.2.3. Crown condition as forest health indicator.....	80
4.2.2.4. Forest health assessment in Eucalyptus plantations.....	82
4.2.3. Forest health monitoring systems	87
4.2.3.1. Pan European monitoring system	89
4.2.3.2. Canadian Forest Health Program	93
4.2.3.3. Forest Health Monitoring Program in USA	96
4.2.3.4. Forest health monitoring systems for eucalypt forests and plantations.....	97
4.3. Eucalyptus health monitoring system framework.....	100
4.3.1. Goals establishment.....	101
4.3.2. Inputs (data collection and management)	101
4.3.3. Processing	102
4.3.4. Outputs.....	102
4.3.5. Feedback and update	103
4.4. Proposed Eucalyptus Health Monitoring System	103
4.4.1. Inputs and processes	105
4.4.1.1. Satellite estimated LAI (LAIs).....	107
4.4.1.2. Model predicted LAI (LAI _m).....	108
4.4.1.3. Differences between satellite estimated LAI (LAIs) and model predicted LAI (LAI _m)	111
4.4.1.4. Relationships among defoliation, stand parameters and spatial data	112
4.4.1.5. Data quality matrix.....	112
4.4.1.6. Data quality control.....	118
4.4.2. Output.....	119
4.4.3. Feedback.....	120
4.5. Discussion.....	120
4.5.1. Conceptual issues and integration into other monitoring systems.....	120
4.5.2. Technical issues	121
4.5.2.1. Image acquisition and pre-processing	121
4.5.2.2. Influence of understory	123
4.5.2.3. Seasonality and frequency of image acquisition	123
4.5.2.4. LAI and MAI sensitivity in 3-PG process based forest growth model	124
4.5.2.5. Multi format and multi source data integration into the GIS	125
4.5.2.6. Migration to Open Geospatial Consortium's (OGC) standards	126
4.5.3. Integration into operational forest management.....	126
5 Eucalyptus stands mapping using remotely sensed imagery	129
5.1. Introduction.....	129
5.2. Background	130
5.3. Material and methods.....	134
5.3.1. Study area	134

5.3.2. Data.....	135
5.3.2.1. Remotely sensed data.....	135
5.3.2.2. Cartographic data	139
5.3.3. Methods.....	140
5.3.3.1. Imagery preprocessing	140
5.3.3.2. Imagery classification	143
5.3.3.3. Accuracy assessment	163
5.4. Results.....	169
5.4.1. Geometric image preprocessing	169
5.4.1.1.1. IKONOS.....	169
5.4.1.1.2. Landsat 5 TM	170
5.4.2. IKONOS classification.....	170
5.4.2.1. Pixel based method	171
5.4.2.2. Object oriented method	176
5.4.3. Landsat 5 TM classification	181
5.4.3.1. Pixel based method	181
5.4.3.2. Object oriented method	187
5.5. Discussion	192
5.5.1. Geometric image preprocessing	192
5.5.2. Signature analysis	194
5.5.2.1. IKONOS imagery	194
5.5.2.2. Landsat-5 TM imagery.....	195
5.5.3. Comparison of classification methods	196
5.5.3.1. IKONOS	196
5.5.3.2. Landsat-5 TM.....	201
5.5.3.3. IKONOS vs. Landsat-5 TM imagery for <i>Eucalyptus globulus</i> stands mapping	207
5.5.3.4. Per pixel vs. object oriented classification	211
5.5.3.5. Hard vs. soft (fuzzy) classifiers	211
5.5.3.6. Segmentation parameters regarding spatial resolution.....	212
6 Mapping of damaged eucalypt stands using remotely sensed and GIS data.....	213
6.1. Introduction.....	213
6.2. Background.....	214
6.2.1. Spectral characteristics of forests damage.....	214
6.2.2. Factors influencing spectral effects of forest damage.....	216
6.2.3. Information extraction and defoliation assessment using remote sensing	217
6.2.4. Results of defoliation assessment using remote sensing	219
6.2.5. Defoliation assessment in <i>Eucalyptus</i> stands using remote sensing	221
6.3. Material and methods	222
6.3.1. Study area	222
6.3.2. Data.....	223
6.3.2.1. Remotely sensed data.....	223
6.3.2.2. Cartographic data	226
6.3.3. Defoliation field data.....	227
6.3.4. Data processing	235
6.3.4.1. Remotely sensed data.....	235
6.3.4.2. Cartographic data	236
6.3.4.3. Ground data processing.....	238
6.3.5. Defoliation mapping using remotely sensed imagery and GIS ...	243
6.3.5.1. Discriminant function analysis description	244
6.3.5.2. Dependent and independent variables	245
6.3.5.3. Tested assumptions	246
6.3.5.4. Model validation.....	247
6.4. Results.....	250

6.4.1. Geometric image preprocessing.....	250
6.4.2. Data summary	250
6.4.3. Discriminant analysis for mapping damaged <i>Eucalyptus globulus</i> stands.....	252
6.5. Discussion.....	259
6.5.1. Registration error and plot location.....	259
6.5.2. Remote sensing to detect and map damaged <i>Eucalyptus globulus</i> stands.....	259
6.5.2.1. Defoliation detection and mapping.....	260
7 Discussion	269
7.1. Integration of results into a EHMS.....	269
7.1.1. Inputs, processes and outputs	269
7.1.2. Data quality control.....	275
7.1.3. Alternative remotely sensed data	275
7.1.4. Migration to Open Geospatial Consortium standards	276
7.2. Applications to sustainable forest management of eucalypt plantations. 277	
7.2.1. Sustainable forest management of eucalypt plantations at landscape scale.....	277
7.2.2. Sustainable forest management of eucalypt plantations at stand scale	279
8 Conclusions.....	281
9 References	287
Appendix (Summary in Spanish)	321