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Abstract. This paper is devoted to proving the existence of at least one solution of the following boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta(\Phi(\Delta u(k)))=f(k+1, u(k), u(k+1), u(k+2)) \quad \text { on } \mathbb{Z}, \\
u(-\infty)=-1, \quad u(+\infty)=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where the function $\Phi$ is a homeomorphism from the finite interval $(-a, a)$ onto $\mathbb{R}$.

## 1. Introduction and preliminary results

The study of difference equations represents a very important field in mathematical research. Different mathematical models coupled with the basic theory of this type of equation can be found in the classical monograph by S. Goldberg [18] and in the more recent books by V. Lakshmikantham and D. Trigiante [19] and S. Elaydi [16]. The study of the existence of solutions for first and second order difference equations coupled with different kinds of boundary value conditions can be found in [1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 11, 13, 22], among others.

In [10] we have studied the existence of heteroclinic connections for the differential equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\Phi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}=f\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right), \quad \text { a.e. on } \mathbb{R},  \tag{1}\\
u(-\infty)=-1, \quad u(+\infty)=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Phi:(-a, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an increasing homeomorphism with $\Phi(0)=0$. In this case, the operator $\Phi$ is called singular in the terminology introduced by Bereanu and Mawhin [5] and its model is the relativistic operator $\Phi(s)=\frac{s}{\sqrt{1-s^{2}}}$ for $s \in(-1,1)$. Problem (1) with an increasing homeomorphism $\Phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has been studied in [7]. In this case, when $\Phi$ is the identity, the problem is motivated by the search for traveling wave solutions for reaction-diffusion equations [1,21]. On the other hand, when $\Phi(s)=|s|^{p-2} s, p>1$, we obtain the one-dimensional p-laplacian to which many papers have been devoted (see for instance $[14,17,23]$ and references therein). Recently, this study has also been developed for difference equations in $[6,9,12]$.

In this paper we deal with the discrete version of the problem (1), that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(\Phi(\Delta u(k)))=f(k+1, u(k), u(k+1), u(k+2)), \quad \text { on } \mathbb{Z} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$
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$$
\begin{equation*}
u(-\infty)=-1, \quad u(+\infty)=1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to prove the existence of a solution we firstly deduce an analogous result to [5, Corollary 1] for discrete problems, namely, the existence of solution for the singular Dirichlet discrete problem in a finite interval for each arbitrary continuous function. By using such a property, we construct a suitable sequence of functions that converges to a solution of our problem.

In the sequel we assume the following:
(h0) $\Phi:(-a, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an increasing homeomorphism with $0<a<+\infty$ (i.e., $\Phi$ is singular).
(f0) $f: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and satisfies the symmetry condition

$$
f(k, x, y, z)=-f(-k,-z,-y,-x) \quad \text { for all } k \in \mathbb{Z} \text { and }(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}
$$

(f1) $f(k, x, 1, z)=0=f(k, x,-1, z) \quad$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(x, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$.
(f2) $f(k, x, y, z)<0$ for all $k \in\{1,2, \ldots\}$ and $\max \{|x|,|y|,|z|\}<1$. ( Moreover for every compact set $K \subset(0,1)^{3}$, there exists $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$ and $h_{K}:\{\kappa, \kappa+1, \ldots\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
f(k, x, y, z) \leq h_{K}(k) \quad \text { for all } k \geq \kappa \text { and }(x, y, z) \in K
$$

and

$$
\sum_{s=\kappa}^{+\infty} h_{K}(s)=-\infty
$$

A solution of (2) - (3) is a function $u: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Delta u(k) \in(-a, a)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $u$ satisfies the difference equation (2) coupled with the boundary conditions (3).

We shall approximate problem (2)-(3) by problems defined on finite sets. We first prove the uniqueness of solution of the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(\Phi(\Delta u(k)))=g(k), \quad \text { for all } k \in J_{n} \equiv\{0, \ldots, n-2\}, \quad u(0)=u(n)=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1.1. Suppose that $\Phi:(-a, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies (h0). Then, for all $g: J_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the Dirichlet problem (4) has a unique solution. (Notice that in particular $\|\Delta u\|_{\infty}<a$ ).

Proof. By direct computation, one can verify that every solution of Problem (4) satisfies the following equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{g}(k)=\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \Phi^{-1}\left(\tau_{g}+\sum_{s=0}^{j-1} g(s)\right), \quad \text { for all } k \in I_{n} \equiv\{0, \ldots, n\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\tau_{g}$ being a solution of the following expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{g}(\tau):=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \Phi^{-1}\left(\tau+\sum_{s=0}^{j-1} g(s)\right)=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fact that the function $F_{g}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has a unique real root is deduced from the following facts:
(1) The continuity of $\Phi^{-1}$ implies the continuity of function $F_{g}$.
(2) Since $\Phi^{-1}$ is strictly increasing the same holds for function $F_{g}$.
(3) $\lim _{\tau \rightarrow+\infty} F_{g}(\tau)=n a>0$.
(4) $\lim _{\tau \rightarrow-\infty} F_{g}(\tau)=-n a<0$.

It is obvious that the uniqueness of constant $\tau_{g}$ is equivalent to the uniqueness of the solution $u_{g}$.

Now we are in a position to prove the analogous result for discrete equations proved by Bereanu and Mawhin in [5, Corollary 1]. The result is the following:
Theorem 1.2. Suppose that $\Phi:(-a, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies (h0). Then, for all continuous functions $f: J_{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the Dirichlet problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(\Phi(\Delta u(k)))=f(k+1, u(k), u(k+1), u(k+2)), k \in J_{n}, u(0)=u(n)=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

has at least one solution. Moreover, any solution of problem (7) satisfies that $\|\Delta u\|_{\infty}<a$.
Proof. Let $T: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T u(k)=\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \Phi^{-1}\left(\tau_{u}+\sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s+1, u(s), u(s+1), u(s+2))\right), k \in I_{n} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\tau_{u}$ the unique solution of the following expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{u}(\tau):=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \Phi^{-1}\left(\tau+\sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s+1, u(s), u(s+1), u(s+2))\right)=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 1.1 we know that operator $T$ is well defined and that the fixed points of operator $T$ are the solutions of problem (7).

First we prove that operator $T$ is continuous: suppose $u_{m} \rightarrow u$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and let $\tau_{m}$ be the corresponding value for $u_{m}$ given by (9) and $\tau_{u}$ associated to $u$. Let us see that $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{m}=\tau_{u}$. By construction of $\tau_{m}$ and $\tau_{u}$ we have that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \Phi^{-1}\left(\tau_{u}+\sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s+1, u(s), u(s+1), u(s+2))\right)  \tag{10}\\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \Phi^{-1}\left(\tau_{m}+\sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f\left(s+1, u_{m}(s), u_{m}(s+1), u_{m}(s+2)\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ is a convergent sequence to $u$ we have that

$$
\left\{\left(k+1, u_{m}(k), u_{m}(k+1), u_{m}(k+2)\right), m \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \cup\{(k+1, u(k), u(k+1), u(k+2))\}
$$

is a compact set in $J_{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}$. As consequence, $\left\{f\left(k+1, u_{m}(k), u_{m}(k+1), u_{m}(k+2)\right)\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a bounded sequence in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and, from (10) the sequence $\left\{\tau_{m}\right\}$ is bounded too, and then we conclude that there exists a subsequence $\left\{\tau_{m_{k}}\right\}$ converging to a real number $\gamma=$ $\limsup \left\{\tau_{m}\right\}$.

Thus, from the continuity of $\Phi^{-1}$ and $f$, we arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \Phi^{-1}\left(\tau_{u}+\sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s+1, u(s), u(s+1), u(s+2))\right)= \\
& \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \Phi^{-1}\left(\gamma+\sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s+1, u(s), u(s+1), u(s+2))\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and since $\Phi^{-1}$ is a strictly increasing function, we conclude that $\tau_{u}=\gamma$.
Analogously, we verify that $\tau_{u}=\liminf \left\{\tau_{m}\right\}$.
Now, by the continuity of $f$ it follows that

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} T u_{m}(k)=T u(k) \quad \text { for all } k \in I_{n}
$$

which is equivalent to say that $T$ is a continuous operator in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.
Finally, it is clear that $\|T u\|_{\infty} \leq n a$ for each $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and then Brouwer fixed point theorem (see [20]) ensures the existence of at least one fixed point of the operator $T$ and, as a consequence, the existence of at least one solution of problem (7).

Notice that, by using expression (8), we have that for all $k \in I_{n}$ that

$$
\Delta u(k)=\Phi^{-1}\left(\tau_{u}+\sum_{s=0}^{j-1} f(s+1, u(s), u(s+1), u(s+2))\right) \in(-a, a)
$$

## 2. Main result

Next we prove the solvability of the singular $\Phi$-laplacian problem (2)-(3).
Theorem 2.1. If conditions (h0), (f0), (f1) and (f2) hold then problem (2)-(3) has an odd increasing solution $u: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. By the symmetry condition $(f 0)$ it is enough to prove the existence of a solution $u: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of (2) satisfying $u(0)=0$ and $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} u(k)=1$, since its odd extension solves (2)-(3).

Claim 1. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the Dirichlet boundary value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(\Phi(\Delta u(k)))=f(k+1, u(k), u(k+1), u(k+2)), k \in J_{n}, \quad u(0)=u(n)=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a solution $u_{n}: I_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $0 \leq u_{n}(k) \leq 1$ and $\left\|\Delta u_{n}\right\|_{\infty}<a$.
Let $\tilde{f}: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined as

$$
\tilde{f}(k, x, y, z)= \begin{cases}f(k, x, y, z), & \text { if }-1 \leq y \leq 1 \\ 0, & \text { in other case }\end{cases}
$$

It is clear, from condition $(f 1)$, that $\tilde{f}$ is a continuous function. On the other hand, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the modified problem

$$
\Delta(\Phi(\Delta u(k)))=\tilde{f}((k+1, u(k), u(k+1), u(k+2)), u(0)=0=u(n)
$$

has by Theorem 1.2 a solution $u_{n}: I_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\left\|\Delta u_{n}\right\|_{\infty}<a$.
If there is $k_{0} \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that $u\left(k_{0}\right)>1$ then, from the definition of $\tilde{f}$, we arrive at

$$
\Delta u\left(k_{0}-1\right)=\Delta u\left(k_{0}\right)
$$

So, by recurrence, we deduce that if $\Delta u\left(k_{0}\right) \geq 0$ then $u(k) \geq u\left(k_{0}\right)>1$ for all $k \in$ $\left\{k_{0}, \ldots, n\right\}$. In case $\Delta u\left(k_{0}\right)<0$ holds we deduce that $u(k) \geq u\left(k_{0}\right)>1$ for all $k \in\left\{0, \ldots, k_{0}\right\}$. In both cases we attain a contradiction with $u_{n}(0)=0=u_{n}(n)$.

Analogously, we deduce that $u_{n}(k) \geq-1$ for all $k \in I_{n}$ and then $u_{n}$ is a solution of (11).
On the other hand, if $\Delta u(0)<0$ condition (f2) implies that $u_{n}(k)<0$ for all $k \in I_{n}$, which is again a contradiction. Therefore $0 \leq u_{n}(k) \leq 1$.

Claim 2. There exists a bounded nondecreasing solution $u: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of (2) such that $u(0)=$ 0 and $0 \leq u(k) \leq 1$.

Since $u_{n}$ is uniformly bounded, it is easy to prove that a subsequence of $u_{n}$ converges uniformly on finite subsets to a solution $u: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of (2).

Clearly $u(0)=0$ and $0 \leq u(k) \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. As consequence of condition (f2) we have that $\Delta(\Phi(\Delta u(k))) \leq 0$, i. e., $\Delta u$ is a nonincreasing function.

If there is $k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\Delta u\left(k_{0}\right)<0$ then we deduce that $\Delta u(k) \leq \Delta u\left(k_{0}\right)<0$ for all $k \geq k_{0}$ and consequently $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} u(k)=-\infty$, a contradiction. Thus $\Delta u(k) \geq 0$ for all $k \geq 0$ and then $u$ is nondecreasing in $\mathbb{N}$.

Claim 3. $\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \Delta u(k)=0$.
Since $\Delta u$ is decreasing there exists $\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \Delta u(k) \in \mathbb{R} \cup\{-\infty\}$. But as $u$ is bounded, we deduce that $\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \Delta u(k)=0$.
Claim 4. $\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} u(k)=1$.
Since $u$ is nondecreasing and bounded there exists $\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} u(k)=l \in(0,1]$. Suppose that $l<1$. From $(f 2)$ and the facts that $0 \leq u(k) \leq l<1$ and $\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \Delta u(k)=0$, it follows that there exists a related $\kappa>0$ for which $0<\Delta u(\kappa)<1$ and a $h_{K}$ (for some suitable compact set $\left.K \subset(0,1)^{3}\right)$ such that

$$
\Delta(\Phi(\Delta u(k)))=f(k+1, u(k), u(k+1), u(k+2)) \leq h_{K}(k+1) \quad \text { for all } k \geq \kappa
$$

and $\sum_{s=\kappa+1}^{\infty} h_{K}(s)=-\infty$.
But in this case

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(\Delta u(k))=-\infty
$$

and then

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \Delta u(k)=-a<0
$$

which is a contradiction. Thus $l=1$ and the proof finishes.
Example 2.2. Let $m, n, p \in \mathbb{N}$ be given. Consider the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta\left(\frac{\Delta u(k)}{\sqrt{1-(\Delta u(k))^{2}}}\right)=k^{2 l+1}(u(k))^{2 m+1}\left(u(k)^{2 p}-1\right)^{n}(u(k+2))^{2 m+1}, \quad \text { on } \mathbb{Z} \\
u(-\infty)=-1, \quad u(+\infty)=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Phi(s)=\frac{s}{\sqrt{1-s^{2}}}$ for all $s \in(-1,1)$ and $f(k, x, y, z)=k^{2 l+1} x^{2 m+1}\left(y^{2 p}-1\right)^{n} z^{2 m+1}$.
Clearly conditions of Theorem 2.1 are fulfilled and so its solvability is guaranteed.
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SOLVABILITY OF SOME ф-LAPLACIAN SINGULAR DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS DEFINED ON THE INTEGERS
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الخلاصة (Abstract). هذه الورقة مخصصة لإثبات وجود حل واحد على الأقل لمسألة القيمة

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta(\Phi(\Delta u(k)))=f(k+1, u(k), u(k+1), u(k+2)) \text { on } \mathbb{Z}, \\
u(-\infty)=-1, u(+\infty)=1,
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

